arXiv:1606.08530v3 [math.CO] 24 Feb 2017

Spectral radius and Hamiltonicity of graphs and balanced bipartite graphs with large minimum degree*

Jun Ge† and Bo Ning‡

Abstract

Investigating the relationship between eigenvalues of a graph and its cycle structure is a standard topic in spectral graph theory. This paper mainly concerns spectral conditions for the existence of Hamilton cycles in graphs and in balanced bipartite graphs, respectively. Let $\lambda(G)$ be the spectral radius of a simple graph G. Our main result is: (a) Let $k \geq 1$, $n \geq k^3 + 2k + 4$, and let G be a balanced bipartite graph of order $2n$ with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq k$. If $\lambda(G) \geq \sqrt{n(n-k)}$, then G has a Hamilton cycle unless $G = B_n^k$, where B_n^k is the graph obtained from $K_{n,n}$ by deleting a $K_{k,n-k}$. This result further gives a spectral analogue of Moon-Moser's theorem on Hamilton cycles in balanced bipartite graphs, and extends the previous result due to Li and the second author for n sufficiently large.

Recently, Nikiforov proved that: (b) Let $k \geq 2$. Let G be a graph of order $n \geq k^3 + k + 4$ with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq k$. If $\lambda(G) \geq n - k - 1$, then G has a Hamilton cycle unless $G = K_1 \vee (K_k + K_{n-k-1})$, or $G = K_k \vee (kK_1 + K_{n-k-1})$. We show that Nikiforov's theorem holds for: $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq \max\{\frac{1}{2}k^3 + k + \frac{5}{2}, 6k + 5\}$.

Keywords: spectral radius; Hamiltonicity; minimum degree; balanced bipartite graphs

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C50, 15A18, 05C38

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple graph with n vertices and $e(G)$ edges. Let $\lambda_1(G) \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n(G)$ be all the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix $A(G)$ of the graph G. Denote by $\lambda(G) = \lambda_1(G)$ the *spectral radius* of G and $\delta(G)$ the *minimum degree* of G. Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs. We use $G_1 + G_2$ to denote the *union* of G_1 and G_2, and $G_1 \vee G_2$ to denote the *join* of G_1 and G_2. Following some notations in [14], we define: for $1 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$, $L_n^k = K_1 \vee (K_k + K_{n-k-1})$ and $N_n^k = K_k \vee (K_{n-2k} + kK_1)$. Note that $L_n^1 = N_n^1$. A graph G that contains a spanning cycle in it is called *Hamiltonian*. For terminologies and notations not defined here, we refer the reader to Bondy and Murty [4].

*Dedicated to Professor Fuji Zhang on his 80th birthday.

†Department of Mathematics, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu, 610066, Sichuan, P. R. China. Email: mathsgejun@163.com

‡Corresponding author. Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072, P. R. China. Email: bo.ning@tju.edu.cn.

Many graph theorists have investigated the relationship between the existence of Hamilton cycles and paths in graphs and the eigenvalues of some associated matrices of graphs, for example, see [1, 13, 5, 9, 21, 19, 14, 18, 15]. Among these results, the following one proved by Fiedler and Nikiforov [9] is the starting point of our research here.

Theorem 1.1 (Fiedler, Nikiforov [9]). *Let G be a graph of order n. If $\lambda(G) > n-2$, then G is Hamiltonian unless $G = N_n^1$.*

By introducing the minimum degree of a graph as a new parameter, Li and Ning [14] extended Fiedler and Nikiforov's results [9] in some sense and obtained a spectral analogue of a classical theorem of Erdős [8]. The following theorem is one of the central results in [14].

Theorem 1.2 (Li, Ning [14]). *Let $k \geq 1$ and G be a graph of order $n \geq \max\{6k+5, (k^2+6k+4)/2\}$. If $\delta(G) \geq k$ and $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda(N_n^k)$, then G is Hamiltonian unless $G = N_n^k$.*

Since $K_{n-k} \subset N_n^k$ and $K_{n-k} \subset L_n^k$, we have $\lambda(L_n^k) > n-k-1$ and $\lambda(N_n^k) > n-k-1$. Very recently, Nikiforov [18] strengthened Theorem 1.2 for n sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.3 (Nikiforov [18]). *Let $k \geq 2$ and G be a graph of order $n \geq k^3+k+4$. If $\delta(G) \geq k$ and $\lambda(G) \geq n-k-1$, then G is Hamiltonian unless $G = L_n^k$, or $G = N_n^k$.*

Chvátal's degree sequence theorem [6] is a powerful tool for dealing with Hamiltonicity of graphs, for example, see Bondy [3] (see also Bondy and Murty [4]). And it also plays an important role in Nikiforov's proof of the above theorem, which is independent, self-contained, and quite different from the technique in [14].

Furthermore, as pointed out by Nikiforov [18], "a crucial point in our arguments is based on the following straightforward theorems, whose proofs are nevertheless long and technical." We list one representative result of Nikiforov's technical theorems as follows.

Theorem 1.4 (Nikiforov [18]). *Let $k \geq 2$ and G be a graph of order $n \geq k^3+k+4$ with $\delta(G) \geq k$.*
(i) If G is a subgraph of N_n^k, then $\lambda(G) < n-k-1$, unless $G = N_n^k$.
(ii) If G is a subgraph of L_n^k, then $\lambda(G) < n-k-1$, unless $G = L_n^k$.

One may ask how precise the requirement $n \geq k^3 + O(k)$ in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 is. In fact, this problem first appeared in an early arXiv version of [18]. Our first motivation of this paper is to sharpen Nikiforov's bound of the order of graphs by almost a half. And we will show our bound of n is best possible.

Proposition 1.1. *Theorem 1.4 holds for $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq \frac{1}{2}k^3 + k + \frac{5}{2}$. That is, let $k \geq 1$ and let G be a graph of order $n \geq \frac{1}{2}k^3 + k + \frac{5}{2}$ with $\delta(G) \geq k$.*
(i) If G is a subgraph of N_n^k, then $\lambda(G) < n-k-1$, unless $G = N_n^k$.
(ii) If G is a subgraph of L_n^k, then $\lambda(G) < n-k-1$, unless $G = L_n^k$.

With the help of Proposition 1.1, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 1.2. *Theorem 1.3 holds for $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq \max\{\frac{1}{2}k^3 + k + \frac{5}{2}, 6k+5\}$.*

In contrast to Nikiforov's technique, besides Proposition 1.1, our proof of Proposition 1.2 is heavily dependent on the previous result in [14] listed below.

Theorem 1.5 (Li, Ning [14]). *Let $k \geq 1$ and G be a graph of order $n \geq 6k+5$. If $\delta(G) \geq k$ and $e(G) > \binom{n-k-1}{2} + (k+1)^2$, then G is Hamiltonian unless $G \subseteq L_n^k$ or N_n^k.*

Our second motivation is to improve the previous theorem of Li and Ning [14] on spectral conditions for Hamilton cycles in balanced bipartite graphs. Recall that a bipartite graph is called *balanced* if its two partite sets X and Y have equal number of vertices. Our result can be seen as a spectral analogue of Moon and Moser's theorem [16]. Denote by B_n^k the graph obtained from $K_{n,n}$ by deleting a $K_{k,n-k}$, where $n \geq 2k+1$. We obtain the bipartite analogues of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, respectively.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $k \geq 1$ and G be a balanced bipartite graph of order $2n$, where $n \geq k^3+2k+4$. If G is a subgraph of B_n^k and $\delta(G) \geq k$, then $\lambda(G) < \sqrt{n(n-k)}$, unless $G = B_n^k$.*

Theorem 1.7. *Let $k \geq 1$ and G be a balanced bipartite graph of order $2n$, where $n \geq k^3+2k+4$. If $\delta(G) \geq k$ and $\lambda(G) \geq \sqrt{n(n-k)}$, then G is Hamiltonian unless $G = B_n^k$.*

Notice that $\lambda(B_n^k) > \sqrt{n(n-k)}$. Thus, Theorem 1.7 extends the following theorem in [14] due to Li and Ning (for n sufficiently large).

Theorem 1.8 (Li, Ning [14]). *Let $k \geq 1$ and G be a balanced bipartite graph of order $2n$, where $n \geq (k+1)^2$. If $\delta(G) \geq k$ and $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda(B_n^k)$, then G is Hamiltonian unless $G = B_n^k$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will prove Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.6, respectively. In Section 3, we will prove Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.7, respectively. We conclude this paper with some remarks in Section 4.

2 Proofs of Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.6

The following theorem due to Hong and two propositions due to Nikiforov will be used in the proof of Proposition 1.1.

Theorem 2.1 (Hong [10]). *Let G be a simple graph with n vertices. Then $\lambda_2(G) \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$.*

Proposition 2.1 (Nikiforov [18]). *(a) Suppose G is a proper subgraph of N_n^k with the maximum spectral radius. Write X for the set of vertices of N_n^k of degree k, let Y be the set of neighbors of all vertices in X, and let Z be the set of the remaining $n-2k$ vertices of N_n^k. Then G is obtained from N_n^k by omitting only one edge uv, where $\{u,v\} \subset Z$.*

(b) Let G be a proper subgraph of L_n^k with the maximum spectral radius. Write w for the common vertex shared by the two subgraphs K_{n-k} and K_{k+1}. Let $Y = \{w\}$. Let X be the set of vertices of K_{k+1} that are distinct from w, and let Z be the set of the remaining $n-k-1$ vertices. Then G is obtained from L_n^k by omitting only one edge uv, where $\{u,v\} \subset Z$.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Set for short $\lambda := \lambda(G)$. Let $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ be the set of vertices in G and let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^\top$ be a positive unit eigenvector to λ. Then Rayleigh's principle implies that

$$\lambda = \langle A(G)\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle .$$

Proof of (i)

Suppose that G is a subgraph of N_n^k, but

$$\lambda(G) \geq n - k - 1 \ \text{ and } \ G \neq N_n^k. \tag{1}$$

Choose G as a proper subgraph of N_n^k with the maximum spectral radius. Furthermore, we adopt the notations X, Y, Z as defined in Proposition 2.1(a).

By Proposition 2.1(a) (or by Kelmans operation), $G = N_n^k - uv$, where $\{u, v\} \subset Z$. By symmetry, let

$$\begin{aligned} x &:= x_i, \ i \in X, \\ y &:= x_i, \ i \in Y, \\ z &:= x_i, \ i \in Z \backslash \{u, v\}, \\ t &:= x_u = x_v. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the n eigenequations of G can be reduced to the following four equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x &= ky, \\ \lambda y &= kx + (k-1)y + (n-2k-2)z + 2t, \\ \lambda z &= ky + (n-2k-3)z + 2t, \\ \lambda t &= ky + (n-2k-2)z. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Solving the eigenequations of G, one can obtain that

$$x = \frac{k}{\lambda} y, \tag{3}$$

$$z = \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{\lambda(\lambda+1)}\right) y, \tag{4}$$

$$t = \frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda+2} \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{\lambda(\lambda+1)}\right) y. \tag{5}$$

By using (3), (4) and (5), the equation (2) becomes

$$\lambda \cdot \frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda+2} \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{\lambda(\lambda+1)}\right) y = ky + (n-2k-2) \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{\lambda(\lambda+1)}\right) y,$$

that is,

$$\left(\lambda - n + 2k + 1 + \frac{2}{\lambda+2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{\lambda(\lambda+1)}\right) - k = 0.$$

Let $f(x) = x(x+1)(x+2) \left[\left(x - n + 2k + 1 + \frac{2}{x+2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{x(x+1)}\right) - k \right]$. Then $f(x)$ is a monic polynomial of degree 4. Notice that every non-zero root of $f(x) = 0$ is also an eigenvalue of $A(G)$. After some routine calculation, we have

$$f(n-k-1) = 2n^2 - (k^3 + 4k + 2)n + k^4 - k^3 + 2k.$$

Set $g(x) = 2x^2 - (k^3+4k+2)x + k^4 - k^3 + 2k$. It is easy to see that the function $g(x)$ is strictly increasing when $x > \frac{k^3+4k+2}{4}$. Since $n \geq \frac{1}{2}k^3 + k + \frac{5}{2} > \frac{k^3+4k+2}{4}$, we obtain

$$f(n-k-1) = g(n) \geq g\left(\frac{1}{2}k^3 + k + \frac{5}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}(k^3 - 4k^2 + 15) > 0.$$

We also have

$$f(n-k-2) = -(n-k-2)^2(n-k-1) - k^2(k-1)(n-k) - 2k^2 < 0.$$

Therefore, there is at least one root of $f(x) = 0$ between $n-k-2$ and $n-k-1$. That is, there is at least one eigenvalue of $A(G)$ between $n-k-2$ and $n-k-1$. Since $\lambda > n-k-1$, we obtain $\lambda_2(G) > n-k-2 \geq \frac{n-2}{2}$, which contradicts Theorem 2.1. The proof of (i) is complete.

Proof of (ii) The technique comes from Nikiforov [18]. We prove this case by contradiction. Suppose that

$$\lambda(G) \geq n-k-1 \ \text{ and } \ G \neq L_n^k. \tag{6}$$

Choose G as a proper subgraph of L_n^k with the largest spectral radius. Furthermore, we adopt the notations w, Y, X, Z as defined in Proposition 2.1(b).

By Proposition 2.1(b), $G = L_n^k - uv$, where $\{u, v\} \subset Z$. By symmetry, let

$$\begin{aligned} x &:= x_i, \ i \in X, \\ y &:= x_w, \\ z &:= x_i, \ i \in Z \backslash \{u, v\}, \\ t &:= x_u = x_v. \end{aligned}$$

Solving the eigenequations of G, one can obtain that

$$x = \frac{1}{\lambda - k + 1} y, \tag{7}$$

$$z = \left(1 - \frac{k}{(\lambda - k + 1)(\lambda + 1)}\right) y,$$

$$t = \frac{\lambda + 1}{\lambda + 2}\left(1 - \frac{k}{(\lambda - k + 1)(\lambda + 1)}\right) y. \tag{8}$$

Further, notice that if we remove all edges not incident to Z and add the edge uv to G, we obtain the graph $K_{n-k} + kK_1$. Let $\mathbf{x}''$ be the restriction of $\mathbf{x}$ to K_{n-k}. As in [18], we can find that

$$\langle A(K_{n-k})\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'' \rangle = \langle A(G)\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + 2t^2 - 2kxy - k(k-1)x^2 = \lambda + 2t^2 - 2kxy - k(k-1)x^2.$$

Since $\|\mathbf{x}''\| < 1$, by Rayleigh's principle, we have $\langle A(K_{n-k})\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'' \rangle < \lambda(K_{n-k}) = n-k-1$, that is,

$$\lambda + 2t^2 - 2kxy - k(k-1)x^2 < n-k-1. \tag{9}$$

The condition $\lambda \geq n-k-1$ in (6), together with (9) yields

$$2t^2 - 2kxy - k(k-1)x^2 < 0. \tag{10}$$

Set $\varphi = 2t^2 - 2kxy - k(k-1)x^2$.

First suppose that $k \geq 2$. Notice that $\lambda \geq n-k-1 \geq \frac{1}{2}k^3 + \frac{3}{2}$. In this case, $\lambda \geq \frac{11}{2}$. It is easy to check $\lambda - k + 1 > k^2 - k$ and $\lambda - k + 1 \geq \frac{9k}{4} \geq \frac{9}{2}$. Therefore, by (7), (8) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varphi}{y^2} &= 2\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda+2}\right)^2\left(1 - \frac{k}{(\lambda-k+1)(\lambda+1)}\right)^2 - \frac{2k}{\lambda-k+1} - \frac{k^2-k}{(\lambda-k+1)^2} \\
&> 2\left(1-\frac{2}{\lambda+2}\right)\left(1-\frac{2k}{(\lambda-k+1)(\lambda+1)}\right) - \frac{8}{9} - \frac{1}{\lambda-k+1} \\
&\geq 2\left(1-\frac{2}{\lambda+2}\right)\left(1-\frac{8}{9(\lambda+1)}\right) - \frac{8}{9} - \frac{2}{9} \\
&\geq 2 \cdot \frac{11}{15} \cdot \frac{101}{117} - \frac{10}{9} \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (10).

Now suppose that $k = 1$. In this case, $n \geq \frac{1}{2}k^3 + k + \frac{5}{2} = 4$. If $n = 4$, in this subcase G is a claw, and the assumption $\lambda \geq n-k-1 = 2$ fails. This implies that $n \geq 5$. In this subcase, $\lambda \geq n-k-1 \geq 3$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varphi}{y^2} &= 2\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda+2}\right)^2\left(1 - \frac{k}{(\lambda-k+1)(\lambda+1)}\right)^2 - \frac{2k}{\lambda-k+1} - \frac{k^2-k}{(\lambda-k+1)^2} \\
&= 2\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda+2}\right)^2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+1)}\right)^2 - \frac{2}{\lambda} \\
&\geq 2\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^2\left(\frac{11}{12}\right)^2 - \frac{2}{3} \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (10). This proves (ii).

The proof of Proposition 1.1 is complete. □

Remark 1. In the proof of Proposition 1.1(i), if we take $n = \frac{1}{2}k^3 + k + 2$, then

$$f(n-k-1) = g(n) = g\left(\frac{1}{2}k^3 + k + 2\right) = 4 - 2k^2 < 0$$

when $k \geq 2$. Notice that $f(+\infty) = +\infty$. So, the largest root of $f(x) = 0$ is greater than $n-k-1$ if $k \geq 2$, and it follows that $\lambda(G) > n-k-1$. That is to say, the requirement $n \geq \frac{1}{2}k^3 + k + \frac{5}{2}$ is best possible.

The proof of Theorem 1.6 is more complicated. First, let us introduce the Kelmans operation [12] here. Given a graph Γ and two specified vertices u and v, we construct a new graph Γ' by replacing the edge vx by a new edge ux for all x such that x is adjacent to u but not to v. We call this operation *the Kelmans operation*. Csikvári [7] proved that the Kelmans operation increases the spectral radius of a graph.

Theorem 2.2 (Csikvári [7])**.** *Let Γ be a graph, and let Γ' be obtained from Γ by a Kelmans operation. Then $\lambda(\Gamma') \geq \lambda(\Gamma)$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.6

Set for short $\lambda := \lambda(G)$, and let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$ be a positive unit eigenvector to λ.

Suppose, by contradiction, that

$$\lambda(G) \geq \sqrt{n(n-k)} \ \ and \ \ G \neq B_n^k. \tag{11}$$

Without loss of generality, choose G as a proper subgraph of B_n^k with the maximum spectral radius. So G is obtained by omitting just one edge uv of B_n^k. Write W for the set of vertices of B_n^k of degree k. Let $X = N(W)$, $Y = N(X) - W$, and $Z = N(Y) - X$. Note that $|W| = |X| = k$ and $|Y| = |Z| = n - k$.

Since $\delta(G) \geq k$, G must contain all the edges incident to W. Hence $\{u, v\} \subset X \cup Y \cup Z$. By symmetry, there are two cases: (i) $u \in X$, $v \in Y$; (ii) $u \in Y$, $v \in Z$.

Let u' be a vertex in X, v' be a vertex in Y and w' be a vertex in Z. Then $B_n^k - v'w'$ can be obtained from $B_n^k - u'v'$ by the Kelmans operation. Hence $\lambda(B_n^k - v'w') \geq \lambda(B_n^k - u'v')$ due to Theorem 2.2. That is, we only need to consider the case (ii).

Let

$$\begin{aligned} w &:= x_i, \ i \in W, \\ x &:= x_i, \ i \in X, \\ y &:= x_i, \ i \in Y \backslash \{u\}, \\ z &:= x_i, \ i \in Z \backslash \{v\}, \\ s &:= x_u, \\ t &:= x_v. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the $2n$ eigenequations of G are reduced to the following six ones:

$$\lambda w = kx, \tag{12}$$

$$\lambda x = kw + (n-k-1)y + s, \tag{13}$$

$$\lambda y = kx + t + (n-k-1)z,$$

$$\lambda z = (n-k-1)y + s, \tag{14}$$

$$\lambda s = kx + (n-k-1)z,$$

$$\lambda t = (n-k-1)y.$$

After some calculation we obtain $s = \frac{\lambda^2 y - \lambda z}{\lambda^2 - 1}$ and $t = \frac{\lambda^2 z - \lambda y}{\lambda^2 - 1}$. Hence (14) becomes

$$\lambda z = (n-k-1)y + \frac{\lambda^2 y - \lambda z}{\lambda^2 - 1},$$

from which it follows that

$$z = \frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{\lambda^3}\left(n - k + \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - 1}\right) y. \tag{15}$$

Since $n - k = \lambda(K_{n-k,n-k}) < \lambda < \lambda(K_{n,n}) = n$, we obtain

$$z < \frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{\lambda^3}\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - 1}\right) y < y.$$

It is easy to check that $\frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{\lambda^3}$ decreases when $\lambda > \sqrt{3}$, so

$$z = \frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{\lambda^3}(n-k)y + \frac{y}{\lambda^3} > \frac{n^2 - 1}{n^3}(n-k)y + \frac{y}{n^3} \geq \left(1 - \frac{k}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) y.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(1-\frac{k}{n}-\frac{1}{n^2}\right)y<z<y. \tag{16}$$

Note that if we remove all edges between W and X and add the edge uv to G, we obtain the graph $K_{n,n-k}+kK_1$. Let $\mathbf{x}''$ be the restriction of $\mathbf{x}$ to $K_{n,n-k}$. We find that

$$\langle A(K_{n,n-k})\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}''\rangle=\langle A(G)\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}\rangle+2st-2k^2wx=\lambda+2st-2k^2wx.$$

Since $\|\mathbf{x}''\|<1$, $\langle A(K_{n,n-k})\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}''\rangle<\lambda(K_{n,n-k})=\sqrt{n(n-k)}$, that is,

$$\lambda+2st-2k^2wx<\sqrt{n(n-k)}. \tag{17}$$

Recall that $\lambda\geq\sqrt{n(n-k)}$. This assumption, together with (17) yields $st-k^2wx<0$. We rewrite it by

$$\lambda(st-k^2wx)=\lambda st-k^3x^2<0. \tag{18}$$

After some elementary calculations, we obtain

$$n-k<n-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{12}<\sqrt{n(n-k)}\leq\lambda<\lambda(K_{n,n})=n.$$

Noting the inequality (16), we estimate the leftside of the inequality in (18) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda st &= \lambda\left(\frac{\lambda^2y-\lambda z}{\lambda^2-1}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda^2z-\lambda y}{\lambda^2-1}\right)\\
&> \lambda\left(y-\frac{z}{\lambda-1}\right)\left(z-\frac{y}{\lambda-1}\right)\\
&> \lambda\cdot\frac{\lambda-2}{\lambda-1}\cdot y\cdot\left(1-\frac{k}{n}-\frac{1}{n^2}-\frac{1}{n-k-1}\right)y\\
&> \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}(\lambda-2)\left(1-\frac{k}{n}-\frac{1}{2n}-\frac{3}{2n}\right)y^2\\
&> (n-k-2)\left(1-\frac{k+2}{n}\right)y^2\\
&\geq (k^3+k+2)\left(1-\frac{k+2}{k^3+2k+4}\right)y^2\\
&> k^3y^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From (12), (13) and (15) we have

$$\left(\lambda-\frac{k^2}{\lambda}\right)x=(n-k)y+\frac{y-\lambda\cdot\frac{\lambda^2-1}{\lambda^3}\left(n-k+\frac{1}{\lambda^2-1}\right)y}{\lambda^2-1}=(n-k)y-\frac{n-k-1}{\lambda^2}y<(n-k)y.$$

Therefore,

$$x^2<\left(\frac{n-k}{\lambda-\frac{k^2}{\lambda}}\right)^2y^2<\left(\frac{n-k}{n-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{12}-\frac{1}{3}}\right)^2y^2<y^2.$$

Now we obtain $\lambda st-k^3x^2>k^3(y^2-x^2)>0$, contradicting (18). The proof is complete. □

3 Proofs of Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.7

Our proofs of Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.7 rely on two spectral inequalities.

Theorem 3.1 (Nikiforov [17]). *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k$. Then*

$$\lambda(G) \leq \frac{k-1}{2} + \sqrt{2e(G) - nk + \frac{(k+1)^2}{4}}.$$

Remark 2. The connected case for the above spectral inequality was given by Hong et al. [11], independently.

Theorem 3.2 (Bhattacharya, Friedland, Peled [2]). *Let G be a bipartite graph. Then $\lambda(G) \leq \sqrt{e(G)}$.*

We also need two previous results in [14].

Theorem 3.3 (Li, Ning [14]). *Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 6k+5$, where $k \geq 1$. If $\delta(G) \geq k$ and $e(G) > \binom{n-k-1}{2} + (k+1)^2$, then G is Hamiltonian unless $G \subseteq L_n^k$ or N_n^k.*

Theorem 3.4 (Li, Ning [14]). *Let $k \geq 1$ and G be a balanced bipartite graph of order $2n$, where $n \geq 2k+1$. If $\delta(G) \geq k$ and $e(G) > n(n-k-1)+(k+1)^2$, then G is Hamiltonian unless $G \subseteq B_n^k$.*

Proof of Proposition 1.2. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.5(2) in [14]. By the initial condition and Theorem 3.1,

$$n-k-1 \leq \lambda(G) \leq \frac{k-1}{2} + \sqrt{2e(G) - nk + \frac{(k+1)^2}{4}}.$$

Thus, when $n > (k^2+6k+4)/2$, we have

$$e(G) \geq \frac{n^2 - (2k+1)n + k(2k+1)}{2} > \binom{n-k-1}{2} + (k+1)^2.$$

Notice that $(k^2+6k+4)/2 < \frac{1}{2}k^3 + k + \frac{5}{2}$ when $k \geq 3$ and $(k^2+6k+4)/2 < 6k+5$ when $1 \leq k \leq 2$. By Theorem 3.3, G is Hamiltonian or $G \subseteq L_n^k$ or N_n^k. By Theorem 1.5, G is Hamiltonian or $G = L_n^k$ or N_n^k. The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.7 The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.10(1) in [14]. By the initial condition and Theorem 3.2, $\sqrt{n(n-k)} \leq \lambda(G) \leq \sqrt{e(G)}$. Thus, we obtain

$$e(G) \geq n(n-k) > n(n-k-1) + (k+1)^2$$

when $n \geq (k+1)^2 + 1$. Notice that $k^3 + 2k + 2 \geq (k+1)^2 + 1$ when $k \geq 1$. By Theorem 3.4, G is Hamiltonian or $G \subseteq B_n^k$. By Theorem 1.8, G is Hamiltonian or $G = B_n^k$. The proof is complete. □

4 Concluding remarks

A natural question is: whether the signless Laplacian spectral radius versions of Theorems 1.3 and 1.7 hold or not. The similar problems under the conditions of signless Laplacian spectral radii of graphs seem much more complicated. Recently, Li, Liu and Peng [20] solved this problem completely. Interestingly, the extremal graphs in their theorems are quite different from those in Theorems 1.3 and 1.7.

Acknowledgements

Jun Ge is supported by NSFC (No. 11626163). Bo Ning is supported by NSFC (No. 11601379).

References

[1] F.K. Bell, P. Rowlinson, On the index of tricyclic Hamiltonian graphs, *Proc. Edinburg Math. Soc.* (2) **33** (1990), no. 2, 233–240.

[2] A. Bhattacharya, S. Friedland, U.N. Peled, On the first eigenvalue of bipartite graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **15** (2008), no. 1, R144, 13pp.

[3] J.A. Bondy, Variations on the Hamiltonian theme, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **15** (1972), 57–62.

[4] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1976. x+264 pp.

[5] S. Butler, F. Chung, Small spectral gap in the combinatorial Laplacian implies Hamiltonian, *Ann. Comb.* **13** (2010), no. 4, 403–412.

[6] V. Chvátal, On Hamilton's ideals, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* **12** (1972), 163–168.

[7] P. Csikvári, On a conjecture of V. Nikiforov, *Discrete Math.* **309** (2009), no. 13, 4522–4526.

[8] P. Erdős, Remarks on a paper of Pósa, *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.* **7** (1962), 227–229.

[9] M. Fiedler, V. Nikiforov, Spectral radius and Hamiltonicity of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **432** (2010), no. 9, 2170–2173.

[10] Y. Hong, Bounds of eigenvalues of a graph, *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. (Eng. Ser.)* **4** (1988), no. 2, 165–168.

[11] Y. Hong, J.-L. Shu, K.-F. Fang, A sharp upper bound of the spectral radius of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* **81** (2001), no. 2, 177–183.

[12] A.K. Kelmans, On graphs with randomly deleted edges, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **37** (1981), no. 1-3, 77–88.

[13] M. Krivelevich, B. Sudakov, Sparse pseudo-random graphs are Hamiltonian, *J. Graph Theory* **42** (2003), no. 1, 17–33.

[14] B.-L. Li, B. Ning, Spectral analogues of Erdős' and Moon-Moser's theorems on Hamilton cycles, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **64** (2016), no. 11, 2252–2269.

[15] B.-L. Li, B. Ning, Spectral analogues of Moon-Moser's theorem on Hamilton paths in bipartite graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **515** (2017), 180–195.

[16] J. Moon, L. Moser, On Hamiltonian bipartite graphs, *Israel J. Math.* **1** (1963), no. 3, 163–165.

[17] V. Nikiforov, Some inequalities for the largest eigenvalue of a graph, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **11** (2002), no. 2, 179–189.

[18] V. Nikiforov, Spectral radius and Hamiltonicity of graphs with large minimum degree, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **66** (2016), no. 3, 925–940.

[19] B. Ning, J. Ge, Spectral radius and Hamiltonian properties of graphs, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **63** (2015), no. 8, 1520–1530.

[20] Y. Li, Y. Liu, X. Peng, Signless Laplacian spectral radius and Hamiltonicity of graphs with large minimum degree, (2016), in preparation.

[21] B. Zhou, Signless Laplacian spectral radius and Hamiltonicity, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **432** (2010), no. 2-3, 566–570.